

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

**REACHING HEARTS
INTERNATIONAL, INC.,**

Plaintiff,

v.

PRINCE GEORGE’S COUNTY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

Case No.: RWT 05cv1688

Case No.: RWT 11cv1959

ORDER

Upon consideration of the parties’ supplemental memoranda and the arguments of counsel heard on October 20, 2011, it is, for the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum Opinion, this 21st day of December, 2011, by the United States District Court for the District of Maryland,

ORDERED, that the portion of the County Council’s September 13, 2011, action denying part of Plaintiff’s 2010 water and sewer service category change application is **VACATED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that the County Council is directed within sixty days and without delay or religious discrimination, to reconsider and process that portion of the application previously denied in accordance with the accompanying Memorandum Opinion; and it is further

ORDERED, that Plaintiff’s Motion for Order of Contempt (Doc. No. 165 in Case No. RWT-05-cv-1688) is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, with leave to refile; and it is further

ORDERED, that Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (Doc. No. 184 in Case No. RWT-05-cv-1688) is **DENIED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (Doc. No. 13 in Case No. RWT-11-cv-1959) is **DENIED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (Doc. No. 20 in Case No. RWT-11-cv-1959) is **DENIED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that Defendants' Motion for a Protective Order (Doc. No. 180 in Case No. RWT-05-cv-1688; Doc. No. 17 in Case No. RWT-11-cv-1959) is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, with leave to refile; and it is further

ORDERED, that Defendants' Motion for Leave to File *Nunc Pro Tunc* (Doc. No. 185 in Case No. RWT-05-cv-1688; Doc. No. 21 in Case No. RWT-11-cv-1959) is **GRANTED**; and it is further

ORDERED, that proposed intervenors' Second Motion to Intervene (Doc. No. 33 in Case No. RWT-11-cv-1959) is **DENIED**.

/s/
ROGER W. TITUS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE